

SOITEC SOLAR PEIR

I oppose all 4 Soitec Solar dual tracking Concentrated Parties proposed for 1,500 acres of Boulevard CA, for the following reasons: lack of adequate information; violations of CEQA; lack of need for projects high cost and experimental nature of CPV; superior alternatives such as point of use generation in San Diego with up to 7,000 MW of capacity on existing structures and parking lots; alternative Imperial Valley site that has permits, has gen-tie lines in place, has survived lawsuits, and is supported by the Sierra Club and other big green groups; estimated use of 50 million gallons of drought stressed groundwater; environmentally, biologically, and culturally sensitive locations in fire and earthquake prone (7,8 in 1892), and groundwater dependent neighborhoods; impacts to Tule Creek and Walker Creek flood plains; cumulative adverse impacts to adjacent conserved and recreation lands in McCain Valley, Anza Borrego Desert State Park, Big Horn Sheep, Golden Eagles; projects within 100 feet of existing residences, wells and riparian areas; disproportionate impacts to predominantly low-income communities of Boulevard , Jacumba Hot Springs and Ejido Jardines Del Rincon; need for approximately 8 miles of new high voltage lines; project locations in Cal Fire designated Very High Fire Severity Zones & Wildfire Corridor that will increase fire risk, letter fire-fighting tactics, and can impact 17,000 people.



Robert Hingtgn, Planner Planning and Development Service 5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110 San Diego, CA 91905

ECEIVE JAN 15 2015

Planning and Development Services

a July 10 Rd Boulevel 49/905